EXHIBIT 267

Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION
DONNA CURLING, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
CIVIL ACTION FILE
vs.
NO. 1:17-cv-2989-AT
BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, et al.,
Defendants.
30(b)(6) VIDEO DEPOSITION of the COALITION FOR GOOD
GOVERNANCE, INC. through MARILYN MARKS
March 17, 2022
11:01 a.m.
TAKEN BY REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE
Robyn Bosworth, RPR, CRR, CRC, CCR-B-2138

Page 92

A I have two questions about your question. Are you asking me how much of their seven days a week is devoted to the case itself as opposed to other CGG activities or --

Q I'm not. Let me ask the question a different way.

A All right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q So in terms of the activities that the volunteers are engaged in as part of their work as volunteers for CGG, do you have an estimate of the percentage of that time that is devoted to the Curling case?

A This is just going to be a wild guess.

I'm going to say that probably 50 percent of it

would be related to the case. Probably 30 percent

of it would be related to other Dominion Voting

System and audit issues that are not in direct

support of the litigation, and the remainder on

other types of election administration activities.

For example, as you probably know we often go to -- haven't had a chance to do this recently -- State Election Board with proposed rules about election administration. Some of them would be BMD related; many of them would not.

We didn't make it yesterday. We had plans

Page 93

to promote some rules that we wish they would promulgate having to do with canvassing, and we didn't get them pulled together in time to submit to the board.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q So just kind of fleshing out a little bit, so the kind of 20 percent of other types of election-related activities, is that focused primarily on advocacy for things the Coalition would like to see in Georgia elections?

A That would be -- yes, that would -- it would be primarily that or answering questions.

Our members tell me that they're hearing a lot from candidates right now with questions about everything from how to -- how do the BMDs really work; can I really count on them; what should I have for poll watchers.

So there's -- you know, do you consider that a real formal education program, no, but we're kind of here to try to answer questions that have to do with the BMD system, the Dominion system, and other related election activities. A lot -- we get a lot of questions about poll watchers.

Oh, the other thing that we are working on that we are getting a lot of questions about right now is House Bill 1464 which includes ballots as

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

Veritext Legal Solutions

Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

	Page 94
1	public records which we initiate we helped
2	initiate that. And then there are, I'm sure you
3	probably know, security chain of custody issues in
4	that bill as well as poll watcher regulations in
5	that bill, and we've been getting a lot and fielding
6	a lot of questions about that and been asked to
7	prepare some amendments.
8	So that and you can't really divide it
9	up into exactly where does that cross over into BMD,
L 0	how much percentage of time did you spend talking
L1	about the BMD portion versus more generally poll
L 2	watchers.
L 3	Q Thank you. That's helpful.
L 4	I'm going to move next to the 990s. Is
L 5	this a do you want to take a break at this point,
L 6	Ms. Marks, or anybody?
L 7	A I'm fine. Up to you guys.
L 8	MR. TYSON: Rob, are you good?
L 9	MR. MCGUIRE: It might be good to take a
20	break if you think you're going to go for a while.
21	MR. TYSON: Yeah, it is going to be a
22	little while on the 990s, so take 5, 10 minutes?
23	MR. MCGUIRE: Yeah, can we, please?
24	MR. TYSON: Yeah, how long you want?
25	MR. MCGUIRE: Five is fine.

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696

Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

	Page 239
1	identification.)
2	BY MR. TYSON:
3	Q Do you see this is another Twitter
4	thread from your MarilynRMarks1 account?
5	A Yes. Does this have a date on it?
6	Q This is January 1, 2021.
7	A Okay. All right.
8	Q And what I'm trying to get to is someone
9	responded and said South Carolina and Kentucky are
10	the states that should be audited, and you said:
11	South Carolina is like Georgia. It uses unauditable
12	BMD touchscreen machines. We can never ever know
13	who won in South Carolina or Georgia because of the
14	use of those machines in the polling places.
15	A Correct.
16	Q You see that?
17	A I do.
18	Q Do you agree with that statement?
19	A I do.
20	Q Is CGG's contention that we can never
21	know is it sorry, let me start over again.
22	Is it CGG's contention that we cannot know
23	who won in Georgia because of the use of Dominion
24	BMDs in the polling places?
25	A Yes. And when we say "who won," what I

Page 240

mean by that, and, of course, you know working with a short Twitter message is hard to get all the nuances in, but when I say "who won," what we mean by that is we do not know who the voters voted for, and that's what we mean by who won. We do not know who the majority or the plurality of the voters voted for in Georgia.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q So it is CGG's contention then that it is impossible to know whether more voters intended to vote for Joe Biden than Donald Trump -- I'm sorry, reverse of that. It is CGG's contention that it's impossible to know whether more voters attempted to vote for Donald Trump in the November 2020 election in the state of Georgia than voted for Joe Biden, correct?

A Well, that's true for any of the candidates, including Biden, Trump, Jorgensen, that that is correct that -- and that's what we've been saying since before these BMDs were purchased long before the 2020 election.

Q And so it's also the case then -actually, let me ask this: Is it CGG's contention
that individuals who question the outcome of the
November 2020 election have a reasonable basis for
doing so?

Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

Page 278 CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF GEORGIA: COUNTY OF FULTON: 3 4 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the colloquies, questions and answers were 5 reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the 6 transcript is a true and correct record of the evidence given upon said proceeding. 7 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney of any party, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this 8 action. 9 I have no relationship of interest in this matter which would disqualify me from maintaining my 10 obligation of impartiality in compliance with the Code of Professional Ethics. I have no direct contract with any party 11 in this action and my compensation is based solely 12 on the terms of my subcontractor agreement. Nothing in the arrangements made for this 13 proceeding impacts my absolute commitment to serve all parties as an impartial officer of the court. 14 This the 4th day of April, 2022. 15 16 In Boworth 17 18 ROBYN BOSWORTH, RPR, CRR, CRC, CCR-B-2138 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25